$10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
6: mp $168-171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ether); IR ( KBr ) $1725,1250,1090$, $725,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.6-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.1-7.6(\mathrm{~m}$, 10 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Se}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 56.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.43$. Found: C, 56.96; H, 5.46.

9,11-Bis(methoxycarbonyl)[6.2.2]propella-9,11-diene (2c). To a solution of 310 mg ( 0.53 mmol ) of 6 and $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}(2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of pyridine in 3.3 mL of dichloromethane was added a mixture of 0.3 mL of $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide ( 2.6 mmol ) and 0.3 mL of water. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h and then heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . Usual workup followed by flash chromatography ( $15 \%$ ether/petroleum ether) gave 107 mg ( $73 \%$ ) of the Dewar benzene $2 \mathrm{c}: \mathrm{mp} 60-62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from petroleum ether); IR (KBr) $1715,1580,1100,780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.2-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-2.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 163.1$ (s), 155.2 (d), 145.7 (s), 61.5 (s), 51.6 (q), 26.5 ( t$), 25.0$ (t), 24.7 ( t ).

8,11-Bis(methoxycarbonyl)[6]paracyclophane (1c). A degassed solution of 97 mg ( 0.35 mmol ) of 2 c in 100 mL of hexane was sealed in ampules and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 95 h . The solvent was evaporated, and subsequent flash chromatography ( $20 \%$ ether/petroleum ether) gave $87 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of cyclophane 1 c as white solid. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from cyclohexane.

1c: $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{94-96}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from hexane); IR ( KBr ) 1710, 1265, 1245, 1195, 790, 745, $675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; MS, $m / e$ (rel intens) $276\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 25\right), 217$ (38), $205(100) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 26{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta-0.7$ to $+0.1(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.5-1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.5-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.9-2.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.6-3.9(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 7.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 167.1$ (s), 146.5 (s), 136.5 (d), 133.6 (s), 52.2 (q), 37.0 (t), 35.0 (t), 27.0 (t); UV (hexane) $\left[\lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}(\log \epsilon)\right] 350(3.2), 270(3.8), 230(4.3)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 69.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.30$. Found: C, 69.32; H, 7.33.

Measurement of Valence Isomerization Rates of 2a, 2c, and 7a. The rate of thermal isomerization of 2 c to $\mathbf{l c}$ was determined by UV spectroscopy, ${ }^{4}$ in which the appearance of absorption at 350 nm in degassed hexane ( $3.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ ) was measured. For the measurement of isomerization rates of 2 a and 7 a , a degassed hexane solution of $1 \mathrm{a}^{4}$ or $8 \mathrm{a}^{7}\left(1.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ was irradiated with a low-pressure mercury lamp at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ to yield a photostationary mixture of 1 a and 2 a or 7 a and 8 a (ca. $1: 1$ ), and the rates were determined by measuring the increase of absorption at 300 and 310 nm , respectively.

X-ray Crystal Structure Analysis of 1c. Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}, M_{\mathrm{r}}=276.3$, monoclinic, space group $C 2 / c, a=19.415$ (4) $\AA, b=6.975$ (1) $\AA, c=11.811$ (2) $\AA, \beta=112.16$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=1481.4$ (4) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.239 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=8.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=$ 592.

The X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku automated four-circle diffractometer with Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. The crystal with dimensions of $0.3 \times 0.25 \times 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used for the data measurement. The integrated intensities were measured by the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique with background countings at each end of the scan range for 5 s . The scan range was determined as $\Delta 2 \theta=(2.0+0.3 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$. The total number of reflections was 1087, among which 948 were observed reflections $\left[\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|>3 \sigma\left(F_{\circ}\right)\right]$. Three monitor reflections were measured for each block of 61 reflections to check for radiation damage and for any change of the crystal orientation of the crystal. No radiation damage of the crystal was observed. The measured intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption, because of the relatively small absorption effects of the compound for Cu $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. The crystal structure was solved by the direct method (MULTAN 78) ${ }^{15}$ and was refined by full-matrix least squares (XRay system). ${ }^{16}$ All the hydrogen atoms were searched for on the difference Fourier maps calculated after the anisotropic refinements of non-hydrogen atoms. The weighting scheme applied was $w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)+0.003\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}$. The final $R$ index defined by $R$ $=\sum\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right) / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ was 0.112 for observed reflections. The weighted $R$ index defined by $R_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\sum w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\mid F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} / \sum w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ was the rather large value of 0.198 . All computations were carried out on an ACOS-850S computer at the Crystallographic Research Center, Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of fractional atomic coordinates, anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms, and interatomic bond distances and angles including hydrogen atoms (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
(15) Main, P.; Hull, S. E.; Lessinger, L.; Germain, G.; Declercq, J. P.; Woolfson, M. M. MULTAN-78: A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data; University of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium, 1978.
(16) Stewart, J. M. XRA Y76, Report TR-466; University of Maryland: College Park, MD, 1976.
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Starting from 3,8-cyclodecadiene-1,6-dione bis(ethylene acetal) (4) a 10 -step synthesis is described which leads to the title compound 18. It is found that 18 rearranges to tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,10}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one (33) at room temperature. The pyrolysis and photolysis of the tosylihydrazone of 18 and 19 yields the cycloheptatriene derivatives 41 and 42 . From the mesylate of the corresponding alcohol of 18 two dihydroazulenes ( 38 and 39 ) could be isolated and characterized. In carrying out the Shapiro reaction with 19 we obtained tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{4,8}$ ]deca-2,5,9-triene (35). MNDO calculations concerning the possible reaction path of tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]decatriene (2) to 35 suggest a radical mechanism and isobullvalene (34) as an intermediate.

## Introduction

Some time ago we investigated by means of MO calculations the through-bond interaction of two perpendicular $\pi$-systems via a four-membered ring system. ${ }^{1}$ Evidence

[^0]for the relay properties of the four-membered ring was found by studying the electronic absorption spectrum of tricyclo [3.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,6}$ ]octadiene ${ }^{2}$ and of tetrabenzotricyclo[5.5.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]dodeca-3,5,9,11-tetraene ${ }^{3}$ as well as the He I

[^1]
## Scheme $I^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $m$-CPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Se}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, n\right.$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $24 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$, pyridine; (d) $h \nu, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) $h \nu, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$.
photoelectron spectrum of trans,trans,trans-1,2,3,4tetravinylcyclobutane. ${ }^{4}$

As a result of these investigations we started to explore the synthesis and properties of the homologues of tricyclo[3.3.0.0 $0^{2,4}$ ]octadiene (1), like tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $\left.0^{2,8}\right]$ decatriene (2) ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ and tricyclo[5.5.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]dodecatetraene (3). ${ }^{5 \mathrm{~b}}$


Herein we report on our synthetic efforts to generate 2. ${ }^{6}$ The pivot point of our strategy was a photocyclization in which a 2,6 -cyclodecadien-1-one derivative was cyclized to a tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]decan-3-one. ${ }^{7}$
Synthesis of Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]decane-3,5,10-trione 5,10-Bis(ethylene acetal). Cyclodeca-3,8-diene-1,6-dione bis(ethylene acetal) (4), accessible in good yields from isotetraline, ${ }^{8}$ is epoxidized to give 5 (Scheme I). Its rearrangement to alcohol 6 was achieved with diphenyl diselenide. ${ }^{9}$ Further oxidation of 6 leads ${ }^{10}$ to 7 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 shows a strong line broadening evidently due to the presence of more than one conformer. At low temperatures one can see two conformers (7a and 7b in the ratio 7:5) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The cou-

[^2]pling constants, 16.2 and 16.7 Hz , respectively, for $\mathrm{H}(4)$ and $\mathrm{H}(5)$ point to the trans conformation of this double bond. Other signals could not be assigned.



Force-field calculations ${ }^{11}$ on cyclodeca-1,5-diene favor a cis-trans conformer (boat-chair) shown in 8. They predict at somewhat higher energy the presence of two cis,cis- 1,5 -cyclodecadienes. The boat-chair conformation of 8 is supported by an X-ray investigation of eupaformonine, ${ }^{12}$ a substituted derivative of 8 . This investigation also shows that the skeleton of 8 is maintained even with fairly large substituents. We consider 7a as having the s-cis and $\mathbf{7 b}$ as having the s-trans conformation at $C(3)$ to $C(5)$ as shown above (note that the numbering in 7 is different from that in 8). In the s-cis conformation $\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{H}(4)$ should be deshielded and $C(5)$ and $H(5)$ should be strongly shielded. We expect the reverse in the s-trans conformation. The assumptions are not conclusive, however, since a bending of the carbonyl group out of the plane of the double bond will cause a similar effect.

Irradiation of 7 causes rearrangement. A single product is obtained as evidenced by an isosbestic point at 314 nm and a linear ED diagram. ${ }^{13}$ Irradiation of 7 at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yields cis,cis-4,8-cyclodecadiene-1,3,6-trione 1,6 -bis(ethylene acetal) (9). The structural assignment is based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9 , which shows a coupling $J_{4,5}$ $=J_{8,9}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The NMR spectrum of 9 shows, analogously to 7 , a broadening of lines.

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of one conformer we find 14 signals. The inspection of Dreiding models suggests, that the chair-like, 9 a , and tub-like, 9 b , conformers are likely.


9a


9b

Both correspond to the most stable conformers of cis,-cis-1,5-cyclodecadiene. ${ }^{11}$ Model considerations on 9 a and 9b suggest, that $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ interactions in 9 a are smaller than those in 9 b and that rearrangement of one conformer into the other should take a considerable activation energy.

Irradiation of 7 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetonitrile yields at first two products, 9 and a new one, 10. After 7 h irradiation time, 7 and 9 had disappeared and 10 could be isolated as colorless crystals in $85 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 10 revealed no olefinic protons. The structural assignment of 10 is based on spectroscopic information, especially the comparison of NMR data with previously synthesized tricyclo[5.3.0. $\left.0^{2,8}\right]$ decane derivatives. ${ }^{7}$ Discrimination between the head-to-tail adduct 10 and the head-to-head adduct 11 is based on the $300-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product. The crucial point is that in 10 a coupling between the protons at positions 1 or 8 with those at positions 2

[^3] 1610.
(12) McPhail, A. T.; Onan, K. D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 578.
(13) Mauser, H. Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem., Biochem., Biophys., Biol. 1968, 23B, 1025.

Scheme II ${ }^{\text {a }}$

$c$
 $\xrightarrow{d}$


16

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{TosCl}$, pyridine; (b) DBN, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h ; (c) p-TosOH, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /acetone; (d) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, ether; (e) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}$ $\mathrm{PCH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{HMPA}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.
or 7, respectively is not observed. The long-range coupling constants between $\mathrm{H}(1)$ and $\mathrm{H}(8)$ are ${ }^{4} J_{1,8}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and between $\mathrm{H}(2)$ and $\mathrm{H}(7)$ are ${ }^{4} J_{2,7}=5.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$. In case of structure 11 all four protons of the central four-membered ring should couple, and thus a more complex pattern is expected.


The remaining question, if 10 is formed from 9 or 7 , can be answered with an ED diagram. From the linear slope obtained when 7 is irradiated it can be concluded that 9 and 10 are formed in parallel reactions with about the same quantum yield and the same order. The slower back-reaction of 9 to 7 is not effective during short reaction times. At longer reaction times, however, only the photostable 10 is isolated. The irradiation of 9 yields no linear ED diagram; this can be explained by assuming that 7 is formed as an intermediate. The concentration of $\mathbf{7}$ is low, however, since it either reacts to form 10 or reverts to 9. In line with these discussions are considerations of molecular models which reveal that in 7 the double bonds are much more favorably arranged for a ring closure to 10 than in 9 .
Synthesis and Reactions of Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]-deca-3,5-dien-9-one. The ketone 10 can be easily transformed to the olefin 14 via the alcohol 12 and the corresponding tosylate 13 (Scheme II). The cleavage of both protecting groups in 10 can be achieved with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in one step, but the yield is low and the resulting triketone is unstable. ${ }^{14}$ Therefore a stepwise path has been followed, by first generating 15 . This enone is transformed via the alcohol 16 to the diene 17. After cleavage of the second protecting group, tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $\left.{ }^{2,8}\right]$ deca-3,5-dien-9-one (18) results.
Scheme III summarizes reactions that can be carried out with the diene unit of 17. Irradiation yields the tetracyclic olefin 20, whose structural assignment is based on spectroscopic data. Furthermore, the diene unit can be complexed with a $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragment as in 21. As anticipated from other diene- $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ products ${ }^{15}$ we find for 21 a

[^4]Scheme III ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{c}$ (a) $h \nu, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (b) $\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) PTAD; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} /$ $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$.
high-field shift of the protons at positions 3 and 6 of the diene unit and a low-field shift of the protons at positions 4 and 5 . The IR spectrum of 21 shows the characteristic ${ }^{16}$ IR bands of CO groups at 2025,1980 , and $1950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. As shown below in eq 1 and 2,21 can be transformed to the corresponding ketone 22 , its tosylhydrazone 23 , the alcohol 24, and its tosylate 25.


Reduction of 17 yields tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]decan-9-one bis(ethylene acetal) (26), which can be transformed via ketone 27 into tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]dec-9-ene (29) according to Shapiro et al. ${ }^{17}$ by treating the tosylhydrazone 28 with excess of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Li}$ (reaction 3). The protons and carbon

atoms at $C(2)$ and $C(7)$ of 29 show the anticipated low-field shifts in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. ${ }^{18}$ The diene 17 reacts smoothly with phenyltriazolinedione (PTAD) to yield the pentacyclic adduct 30 (Scheme III). Partial hydrolysis of 30 according to eq $4^{19}$ and treatment of the resulting 31 with nickel peroxide at low temperature ${ }^{20}$ shows the complete disappearance of 31. Subsequent

warming of the solution yields 17 (eq 5). This observation can be rationalized by assuming 32 as an intermediate.

[^5]
${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) TosNHNH ${ }_{2}$; (c) MeLi , ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $\mathrm{NaBH} 4, \mathrm{MesCl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, t$-BuOK, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) chloranil.

The assumed instability of 32 is in line with observations on structurally related azo compounds. ${ }^{21}$

Reactions of Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one (18). The method of purifying 18 led to unexpected results. We obtained an isomer, tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,10}$ ]deca3,5 -dien- 9 -one (33) (c.f. Scheme IV). Compound 33 was first synthesized by Doering et al. in 1967. ${ }^{22}$ Although its reported UV spectrum is identical with that of our sample and the $C O$ stretching frequency found at $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is compatible with the given structure, we undertook a detailed investigation of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 33 has been analyzed by applying simulation and correlation spectroscopy (COSY). ${ }^{24}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 33 and its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ shift-correlated NMR spectrum (COSY) is provided as supplementary material. The availability of 33 from 18 provides a new access to isobullvalene (34) ${ }^{23}$ (eq 6). In carrying out the Shapiro

reaction ${ }^{17}$ with 19 we did not obtain the anticipated 2 but an isomer. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product shows six olefinic protons ( $6.6(2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.9(2 \mathrm{H}) 5.6(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm})$ and four protons between $3.2(2 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.4(2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. Identical data are reported for tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{4,8}$ ] deca-$2,5,9$-triene (35) ${ }^{25}$ (see Scheme IV). The structure of 35 is further assured by its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum which is consistent with twofold symmetry and its IR spectrum, which is identical with that obtained by Jones. ${ }^{25}$

The preparation of the alcohol 36 and its mesylate 38 was undertaken to obtain 2 by an elimination reaction. Treatment of 37 with potassium tert-butoxide ( $t$ - BuOK ) yielded a mixture of four isomeric hydrocarbons of the formula $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$; two of which, 38 and 39 (Scheme IV), could be separated by preparative GC and investigated. The other two could not be separated. The oxidation of 38,39 , and the mixture with chloranil gave azulene (40).

The isomers show different UV absorption spectra. Compound 38 shows a maximum at 276 nm , while 39 shows two maxima at 318 and 218 nm in $n$-hexane. These values are in agreement with those for three and four conjugated double bonds, respectively, as suggested by model calculations. ${ }^{26}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3 8}$ and

[^6]Table I. Calculated Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) for 2, 34 and 44 according to the MNDO Method ${ }^{a}$

| bond | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-2$ | 1.59 | 2.53 | 3.60 |
| $1-6$ | 2.66 | 2.61 | 1.55 |
| $2-3$ | 1.49 | 1.41 | 1.35 |
| $3-\mathbf{4}$ | 1.35 | 1.37 | 1.46 |
| $4-5$ | 1.46 | 1.43 | 1.35 |
| $5-6$ | 1.35 | 1.36 | 1.48 |
| $6-7$ | 1.59 | 1.49 | 1.53 |
| $7-8$ | 1.59 | 1.57 | 1.55 |
| $8-9$ | 1.53 | 1.53 | 1.53 |
| $9-10$ | 1.36 | 1.38 | 1.35 |
| $1-7$ | 1.58 | 1.52 | 1.55 |
| $1-10$ | 1.53 | 1.44 | 1.50 |

${ }^{a}$ The numbering of the atoms is given in Figure 1.
39 the signals of seven olefinic protons between 2.5 and 3.3 ppm are recorded.

Thermolysis and Photolysis of the Sodium Salt of 19. In view of the thermal behavior of the Li salt of 19 in ether, we studied the thermolysis of the sodium salt of 19 without solvent and base. By heating the sodium salt of 19 to $120-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a vigorous thermolysis was observed. The vapor was condensed together with Ar on a cold finger (10 K). The IR spectrum obtained from the trapped products is provided as supplementary material. We observe strong bands at 3322,2190 , and $1969 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These bands are characteristic for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency of an acetylenic hydrogen ( $3322 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching frequency of a triple bond ( $2190 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and the antisymmetric stretching frequency of an allenic fragment (1969 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). When carrying out the thermolysis on a larger scale we could identify the cycloheptatriene derivatives 41 and 42 in the ratio $2: 1$ (eq 7). Attempts to separate the

(7)
mixture of 41 and 42 by preparative GC yield only 41 and a further $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ isomer, 2-exo-methylenebicyclo[3.2.2]-deca- $3,6,8$-triene (43) (eq 8). The spectral data of 41 and


43 are identical with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{27}$ The irradiation of a degassed solution of the sodium salt of 19 in THF at room temperature yields 41 and 42 in the ratio of $2: 1$ as primary products.

## Discussion

During our synthesis of the tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5diene system substituted at the 9 -position, we encountered several rearrangements which deserve comment.

The properties of 1 and its reactions ${ }^{28}$ revealed several rearrangements that can be rationalized by a homolytic rupture of one of the strained bonds of the four-membered ring moiety. ${ }^{29}$ Model calculations on 1-3 ${ }^{1,2}$ confirm this insofar as the bond lengths of the four-membered ring are predicted to be relatively long. To contribute further to this problem we have carried out a model calculation using

[^7]

Figure 1. Calculated reaction coordinate for the rearrangement of 2 to 34 and $\mathbf{3 5}$ according to MNDO.
the MNDO method. ${ }^{30}$ The starting point was 2, whose geometrical parameters were optimized with respect to the total energy by using the MNDO method. The bond lengths obtained for 2 are given in Table I. As the reaction coordinate we choose the lengthening of one bond (C-(1)-C(2)) of the cyclobutane ring (see below). The structure of maximal energy was found when $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ was lengthened to $2.528 \AA$. The structure 44 obtained satisfies the criteria of McIver and Komornicki ${ }^{31}$ for a transition state; it is shown in Figure 1. A slight variation of the geometry of 44 along the reaction coordinate will yield either to 2 or 34 . The same result is obtained when we varied the angle between atoms 1,2 , and 3 as reaction coordinate (see below). In Figure 1 we have shown the calculated reaction coordinate as well as the heats of formation obtained for 2,44 , and 34 . The most relevant bond length of all three structures are given in Table I. The reason for the preference of 34 over 35 can be found when considering the geometry of the biradical 44 . A ring

closure between A and C will lead to 35 , while the connection of adjacent A and B will give rise to 34 . In 44 the distance AC is found to be $3.70 \AA$, while for the distance $\mathrm{AB} 2.61 \AA$ is calculated.

A similar search for the reaction path between 34 and 35 was hampered by convergence problems. Starting out from 34 or 35 will lead to two closely related transition states (45a and 45b) whose most relevant geometrical


[^8](31) McIver, J. W.; Komornicki, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2625.
parameters are indicated as shown. Furthermore it is found that 35 is by $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than 34 . The activation energy for the conversion of 34 to 35 can only be estimated; values between 34 and $50 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ are obtained.

The predicted activation energies for both reaction paths just discussed are too high. The reason for this is that we did not include CI. The energy of the biradical intermediates 44 and 45 will be drastically lowered when CI is included. Nevertheless our results clearly point out that the rearrangement of 2 to 35 should be a two-step mechanism via 34 as an intermediate.

The rearrangement of 18 to 33 can be rationalized by assuming a homolytic or heterolytic bond rupture of the four-membered ring in 18 to yield a biradical or a dipolar intermediate 46 (see eq 9). From the valence structure


46 b the recombination to 33 can be understood. If this reaction scheme holds, further investigations on the thermal and photochemical behavior of 18 and 33 have to show if 47 cannot be detected.
For the rearrangement of the mesylate 37 to the dihydroazulenes a ionic mechanism seems likely. This assumption is supported by the observation that treatment of 37 with traces of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ yields a mixture of isomeric alcohols. We assume that the primarily formed cation, 48 in eq 10 , is stabilized by ring opening to 49 which yields after deprotonation 38 and 39. This explains the

observation that 38 and 39 are found in $85 \%$ yield. Further ( $1, n$ ) H shifts from 38 and 39 can yield to other dihydroazulene isomers. Labeling experiments with deuterium at positions 9 and 10 of 37 should give us more information about the proposed mechanism.
Further rearrangements occur during the thermal decomposition of the alkali salts of 19 . The rearrangement of 19 in the presence of an excess of methyllithium at -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ may involve 2 as an intermediate. In favor of this view
is the observation that 28 reacts under the same conditions to yield 29 (see eq 3 ). Counterarguments stem from the results of MO calculations which predict that 34 should be an intermediate. The latter could not be detected although a half-life time of about 13 h under the reaction conditions applied $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ has been reported. ${ }^{32}$ An other alternative to rationalize the occurrence of 35 is given by an anionic intermediate (50) as shown in eq 11. This

mechanism does not exclude the formation of 34, however. From 50 one also might imagine the rearrangement to 52 , which might lead to 34 (see eq 12). The synthesis of 2 and studies on 33 and $\mathbf{3 4}$ might clarify the remaining questions.


Finally a comment is needed on the thermal and photochemical decomposition of the sodium salt of 19. Examination of other thermolysis experiments of sodium salts of tosylhydrazones like $53^{33}$ leads us to the assumption that the acetylene 41 (see eq 7) is produced as a primary product (eq 13). In the presence of base 41 rearranges

to 42 . The rearrangement of 42 to 43 (see eq 5) can be formulated via a Cope rearrangement when we assume an equilibrium with the norcaradiene isomer 55 (eq 14).

(14)

Investigations on the equilibrium between cycloheptatriene and norcaradiene ${ }^{34}$ as well as the Cope rearrangement of divinylcyclopropanes ${ }^{35}$ show that under the conditions applied to isolate the mixture $41 / 42\left(160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ the above rearrangement seems very likely.

## Conclusions

Although our original goal of synthesizing 2 could only be partially achieved, our synthetic efforts have been rewarded by several new rearrangements leading to new entrances on the $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ manifold. We obtained new access to tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{4,8}$ ]deca-2,5,9-triene (35), to the two new dihydroazulenes 38 and 39 , and to isobullvalene (34) via the ketone 33. Furthermore the thermal rearrangement of the sodium salt of 19 yielded two cycloheptatrienes (41,

[^9]42) one of which (42) rearranged to methylenehomobarrelene (43).

## Experimental Section

General. Melting points are uncorrected. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra were measured on a $300-\mathrm{MHz}$ instrument with the exception of 33, for which a $500-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer was used with $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard. The $500-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrum as well as a COSY spectrum of 33 is given as supplementary material. In the COSY experiment a spectral width of 2304 Hz in both dimensions was used with quadrature detection, to collect a $256 \times 1024$ data matrix. The matrix was zero-filled in the $t_{1}$ dimension and transformed in the magnitude mode by using the sine bell function in both dimensions. Digital resolution in the resulting $512 \times 512$ data matrix was 4.5 Hz per point. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained with a $75.46-\mathrm{MHz}$ instrument with $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard. High-resolution mass spectra (MSHR) were recorded at an ionization energy of 70 eV . The IR spectra were carried out with a Beckmann IR 4200 spectrometer; relative intensities are indicated as follow: strong (s), medium (m), weak (w), broad (br). Electronic absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary 17 D (Varian) spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Microanalytical Laboratory, University of Heidelberg.

8,9-Epoxy-3-cyclodecene-1,6-dione Bis(ethylene acetal) (5). To a suspension of $35.3 \mathrm{~g}(0.14 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $4^{8}$ dissolved in 1 L of methylene chloride and 15 g of sodium hydrogencarbonate was added dropwise a solution of $26.4 \mathrm{~g}(0.13 \mathrm{~mol}) \mathrm{m}$-chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $80-85 \%$ ) in 250 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, while being stirred mechanically. After being stirred for 40 h , the suspension was filtered and washed three times with a $5 \%$ aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate solution and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was recrystallized from ethyl acetate by fractional crystallization to yield $23 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ of epoxide 5: $\mathrm{mp} 214-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.4-2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.4-2.91$ (m, 2 H$), 3.0-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 127.5$ (d), 110.2 (s), 64.7 ( t$), 64.5$ ( t$), 54.8$ (d), 35.4 ( t ), 33.7 ( t ); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 268.1311, obsd 268.1322.

4-Hydroxy-2,8-cyclodecadiene-1,6-dione Bis(ethylene acetal) (6). To a solution of $6 \mathrm{~g}(20 \mathrm{mmol})$ of diphenyl diselenide in 200 mL of dry $n$-butanol was added 1.6 g ( 42 mmol ) of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in batches under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ until the bright yellow solution turned colorless. After addition of 10 g of $5(37 \mathrm{mmol})$ the solution was refluxed for 20 h . After the solution was cooled, 200 mL of THF was added. To this solution was added 40 mL of $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ over 1 h under ice cooling. After 16 h the resulting slurry was filtered and washed twice with an aqueous $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ solution and a $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. After drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and removal of the solvent, the residue was crystallized from ether/pentane (1:1). White crystals, ( $55 \%$ ), 5.5 g of 6 were obtained: $\mathrm{mp} 115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.7-2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.2-5.7(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.5$ (d), 128.8 (d), 127.7-127.3 (br), 125.0 (d), 110.0 (br), 109.9 (s), 69.8 (br), 67.6-67.3 (br), 64.3 (int.), 45.2 (br), 37.1-36.9 (br), 36.4 (t), 34.8 (br); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 268.1311, obsd 268.1291. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 62.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.51$. Found: C, 62.78; H, 7.65.
cis,trans-4,8-Cyclodecadiene-1,3,6-trione 1,6-Bis(ethylene acetal) (7). Chromium trioxide ( $6 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 9.5 mL ( 120 mmol ) of dry pyridine in 150 mL of dry methylene chloride. After the burgundy-colored solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, a solution of the alcohol 6 ( $2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of dry methylene chloride was added in one portion. A tarry, black residue separated immediately. After being stirred 36 h , the solution was decanted from the residue and mixed with 200 mL of ether. The organic solution was filtered through a small portion of silica gel. Evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude ketone, which was recrystallized from ether to give 1.35 g ( $50 \%$ ) of 7 as colorless needles: mp 129-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 1680(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.05-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{br}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.7-4.2(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $5.5-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65(\mathrm{br}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{At}$ $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the following assignments can be made: $7 \mathrm{a}\left[\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\right]$, $\mathrm{H}(4) 6.27, \mathrm{H}(5) 6.85, \mathrm{H}(8,9) 5.49-5.89 ; 7 \mathbf{b}\left[\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\right], \mathrm{H}(4) 5.97$, $\mathrm{H}(5) 6.33, \mathrm{H}(8,9) 5.70-5.88 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.3$ (s), 150.0 (br), 129.0 (d), 128.0 (br), 125.1 (d), 109.7 ( s$), 107.0$ (br), 64.7 (t),
64.6 (t), 49.9 ( t$), 38.0$ (br), 37.1 ( t ). At $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the following assignments can be made: $7 \mathrm{a}\left[\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{C}(4) 134.88, \mathrm{C}(5) 152.22\right.$, $\mathrm{C}(8) 128.44, \mathrm{C}(9) 124.81 ; 7 \mathrm{~b}\left[\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\right], \mathrm{C}(4) 128.89, \mathrm{C}(5) 136.68$, $\mathrm{C}(8) 127.33, \mathrm{C}(9) 124.63 . \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\epsilon) 217(6000), 328$ (65); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 266.1154 , obsd 266.1174 . Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 63.15; $\mathrm{H}, 6.81$. Found: C, 63.0; $\mathrm{H}, 6.94$.
cis,cis-4,8-Cyclodecadiene-1,3,6-trione 1,6-Bis(ethylene acetal) (9). A solution of $300 \mathrm{mg}(1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 7 in 100 mL of dry acetonitrile and 450 mL of dry ether was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, degassed, and irradiated in a Pyrex reactor with a HPK 125-W lamp for 2 h . After removal of the solvent the residue was recrystallized from ether to yield 265 mg of colorless crystals ( $88 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 109-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3020(\mathrm{w}), 2980(\mathrm{~m}), 2890(\mathrm{~m}), 1695$ (s), $1405(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.3-3.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.92(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.98(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.52-5.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.1\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.9(\mathrm{~s}), 134.3$ (d), 132.2 (d), 128.0 (d), 127.6 (d), 111.1 (s), 107.6 ( s$), 64.8$ ( t , double intensity), 64.5 ( t , double intensity), $49.3(\mathrm{t}), 35.7(\mathrm{t}), 34.9(\mathrm{t})$; UV (acetonitrile) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\epsilon) 305$ (35) nm; MSHR, ( $\mathbf{M}^{+}$) calcd 266.1154, obsd 266.1151 .

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]decane-3,5,10-trione 5,10-Bis(ethylene acetal) (10). An ice-cooled solution of $2 \mathrm{~g}(7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 7 in 500 mL of dry acetonitrile was irradiated under nitrogen for 14 h with a Philips HPK 125-W lamp. After complete removal of the solvent, the residual oil solidified. Recrystallization from ether yielded $1.7 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of colorless crystals: mp $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }}$ $1680(\mathrm{~s}), 1310(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.03-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.33-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 207.0 ( s ), 114.7 ( s$), 106.7$ (s), 64.7 ( t$), 64.5$ (t), 64.4 ( t$), 64.3$ (d), 53.5 (d), 51.7 ( t$), 47.3$ (d), 44.2 (t), 42.9 (d), 38.8 ( t$)$; MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 266.1154, obsd 266.1129. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 63.15$; H, 6.81. Found: C, 63.15; H, 6.90.

6-Hydroxytricyclo [5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]decane-4,9-dione Bis(ethylene acetal) (12). A suspension of $180 \mathrm{mg}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium borohydride in 20 mL of dry ethanol was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the ketone $10(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of dry ethanol. After 12 h of being stirred and addition of 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the reaction mixture was filtered. The precipitated salts were washed two times with methylene chloride and the organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Evaporation of the solvent yielded 2 $\mathrm{g}(95 \%)$ of product, which crystallized on standing (mixture of two isomeric alcohols): $\mathrm{mp} 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3440$ (br), 2950 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.26$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.48(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.0(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 115.8$ (s), 110.2 (s), 67.3 (d), 64.4 (t), 64.2 (t), 63.8 (t), 57.0 (d), 51.6 (d), 49.2 (d), 47.2 (d), 44.9 (t), 41.2 (t), 40.4 (d), 38.4 (t), 37.9 (d); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 268.1321, obsd 268.1316. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 62.67; H, 7.51. Found: C, 62.75; H, 7.50 .
6-[(p-Tolylsulfonyl)oxy]tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $\left.{ }^{2,8}\right]$ decane-4,9-dione Bis(ethylene acetal) (13). A solution of $2 \mathrm{~g}(7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 12 and 2.17 g ( 11.4 mmol ) of $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride in 25 mL of pyridine was left for 5 days at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition of a small piece of ice, the reaction mixture was poured into 200 mL of ice-water. The white precipitate was filtered, washed with water, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ to yield $2.64 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$ of tosylate 13 (two isomers): $\mathrm{mp} 105-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 1360(\mathrm{~s}), 1305(\mathrm{~s})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.89-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.50$ (m, 5H), 3.8-4.0 (m, 8 H$), 4.96$ (m, 1 H ), 7.33 (d, 2 H ), 7.80 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 144.5$ (s), 134.4 (s), 129.8 (d), 127.8 (d), 115.3 (s), 107.4 (s), 80.0 (d), 64.7 (t), 64.5 (t), 55.5 (d), 51.3 (d), 50.2 (d), 47.2 (d), 44.8 (t), 44.1 (t), 40.4 (d), 40.4 ( t$), 39.0$ (d), 38.4 (t), 21.6 (q); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 422.1367, obsd 422.1383. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 59.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.5$. Found: C, $59.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.36$; S, 7.35 .

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]dec-5-ene-4,9-dione Bis(ethylene acetal) (14). A solution of the tosylate $13(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 75 mL of dry acetonitrile and 4 mL of 1.5 -diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h , cooled to room temperature, and poured into 300 mL of water. The aqueous mixture was extracted with methylene chloride and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent gave $975 \mathrm{mg}(65 \%)$ of 14: $\mathrm{mp} 77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 2960(\mathrm{~s})$, $1640(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $5.71-5.75$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.92-5.99$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta .132 .8$ (d), 132.3 (d), 115.3 ( s$)$, 108.9 (s), 64.5 (t), 64.1 (t), 56.0 (d), 50.4 (d), 46.7 (d), 45.4 (d), 44.2 (t), $38.0(\mathrm{t})$; MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 250.1215, obsd 250.1210. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.24$. Found: C, $66.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.45$.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ] dec-5-ene-4,9-dione 9-Ethylene Acetal (15). A solution of 14 ( 975 mg 3.7 mmol ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of water and 10 mL of acetone was stirred for 20 min at room temperature. Most of the acetone was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was poured into 50 mL of water. After extraction with ether, the combined extracts were washed successively with water, an aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried. Removal of the solvent gave the crude ketone 15, which was purified by column chromatography, using silica gel. Elution with methylene chloride/ethyl acetate (10:1) yielded $684 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of crystalline ketone $15: \mathrm{mp} 68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }}$ $2941(\mathrm{~m}), 1680(\mathrm{~s}), 1310(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ H), 2.36-2.41 (tt, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.45-2.50 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4$ Hz ), 2.71-2.77 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.97-2.99 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.90-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-6.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.82-6.89(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.3$ (s), 148.0 (d), 131.8 (d), 115.0 (s), 64.8 (t), 56.0 (d), 50.3 (d), 46.6 (d), 45.1 (d), 44.7 (t), 42.7 ( t$) ; \mathrm{MS}$, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 206.09 , obsd 206.08. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 69.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.84$. Found: C, 70.07; H, 7.06.

4-Hydroxytricyclo [5.3.0.0.8,8 dec-5-en-9-one Ethylene Acetal (16). A solution of 15 ( $684 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 30 mL of dry ether was added dropwise to $70 \mathrm{mg}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of lithium aluminium hydride in 10 mL of ether. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h . Then water was added cautiously. After being stirred for a further 30 min , the reaction mixture was filtered, and the precipitated salts were washed two times with ether. After the etheral solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed to yield a colorless oil, which crystallized on standing. Column chromatography, using silica gel and elution with methylene chloride/ethyl acetate ( $10: 1$ ), yielded $631 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of crystalline (two isomers) alcohol 16: mp $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3290$ (br), 3025 $(\mathrm{w}), 2950(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.93$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.97-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65-2.69(2 \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.87-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.6$ (d), 136.55 (d), 128.5 (d), 115.7 ( s$), 114.5$ (s), 69.1 (d), 69.06 (d), 64.5 (t), 58.3 (d), 52.7 (d), 50.9 (d), 47.6 (d), 47.2 (d), 47.0 (d), 43.8 (t), 41.7 (d), 37.7 (t), 36.5 (t); MS, ( ${ }^{+}$) calcd 208.11, obsd 208.03. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 69.20$; H, 7.74. Found: C, 68.93; H, 7.83.
Tricyclo[5.3.0.028] ${ }^{2,}$ deca-3,5-dien-9-one Ethylene Acetal (17). A solution containing allylic alcohol $16(631 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of hexamethylphosphoramide was stirred under nitrogen, while methyltriphenoxyphosphonium iodide ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The resulting mixture was heated for 5 h at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling to room temperature, it was poured into 200 mL of 2.7 N potassium hydroxide solution and extracted with 1:1 ether/pentane ( 800 mL ). The organic solvent was washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The remaining oil was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ yielded 490 $\mathrm{mg}\left(85 \%\right.$ ) of crystalline diene $17: \mathrm{mp} 64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.17-1.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26-1.29(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.83-2.89(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.5$ (d), 125.3 (d), 116.4 ( s$), 64.6$ (t), 50.6 (d), 43.6 (t), 33.7 (d), 24.0 (d); UV ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\epsilon) 275$ (3680) nm; MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 190.0982, obsd 190.0988. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 75.76; H, 7.42. Found: C, 75.50 ; $\mathrm{H}, 7.55$.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{28}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one (18). A solution of 490 mg ( 2.6 mmol ) of 17 in 100 mL of THF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with 45 mL of 1 N hydrochloric acid. After warming to room temperature and being stirred for 24 h , the reaction mixture was neutralized with 1 N sodium hydroxide solution. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated at room temperature, leaving 365 mg of a colorless oil, which was purified on silica gel. Elution with methylene chloride gave $350 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%)$ of pure 18: IR (film) $\tilde{v}_{\text {max }} 3040(\mathrm{~m})$,
$1755(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.11-6.22 (m, 4 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 212.4$ (s), 132.3 (d), 126.8 (d), 52.1 (d), 45.8 (t), 43.0 (d), 23.9 (d); UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\epsilon) 275(3870) \mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{MSHR},\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 146.0704, obsd 146.0718

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one ( $p$-Tolylsulfonyl)hydrazone (19). A mixture of 180 mg ( 1.23 mmol ) of 18 and 270 mg ( 1.45 mmol ) tosylhydrazine in 15 mL of dry ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . A white precipitate formed, which was filtered and identified as pure desired product $19(180 \mathrm{mg})$. The ethanol was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with methylene chloride/ethyl acetate gave 75 mg of 19. A total of $255 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of white crystalline tosylhydrazone was obtained. $19: \mathrm{mp} 142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \tilde{\nu}_{\max }$ 3200 (s), 3030 (w), 1340 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.51-1.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81-1.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.70 (br, 2 H ), 5.98-6.05 (m, 4 H ), 7.32-7.35 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 167.3$ (s), 143.9 (s), 135.5 (s), 131.9 (d), 129.5 (d), 128.0 (d), 126.2 (d), 53.3 (d), 36.7 (d), 36.7 (t), 23.8 (d), 21.5 (q); UV ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max }$ (є) 225 (19800), 275 ( 3680 ) nm ; MSHR, $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 314.1080 , obsd 314.1083. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 64.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.77$; N , 8.91; S, 10.20. Found: C, 64.61; H, 6.0; N, 8.76; S, 10.16.

Tetracyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8} .0^{3,6}$ ]dec-4-en-9-one Ethylene Acetal (20). A solution of $17(20 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 15 mL of dry acetonitrile was irradiated under argon atmosphere for 5 h with a mercury lamp ( 250 W ) using a cutoff filter (WG 305). After completion (GC control), the solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel to yield 15 mg ( $75 \%$ ) of liquid 20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.00-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.9-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; IR (film) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 3025$ (s), $2950(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ MSHR, $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 190.0982, obsd 190.0985.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one Ethylene Acetal Tricarbonyliron (21). A solution of 680 mg ( 1.35 mmol ) of dodecacarbonyltriiron and 220 mg ( 1.16 mmol ) of 17 in 20 mL of dry benzene was refluxed under nitrogen for 18 h . After filtration and evaporation of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in methylene chloride and chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave $300 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$ of the yellow crystalline complex 21: mp $74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; two isomers; IR (KBr) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 2025$ (s), 1985 (s), $1950(\mathrm{~s}), 1305(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.83-1.94(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.10-2.14$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.23-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 211.4(\mathrm{~s}), 211.3$ ( s ), 113.2 ( s$), 87.6$ (d), $65.5(\mathrm{t}), 64.5(\mathrm{t}), 64.4(\mathrm{t}), 60.6(\mathrm{~d}), 60.4(\mathrm{~d})$, 54.3 (d), 53.1 (d), 48.7 (d), 25.1 (t), 23.1 (t); MSHR, (M ${ }^{+}$) calcd 330.0190, obsd 330.0191. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Fe}$ : C, 54.58; H, 4.27. Found: C, $54.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.26$.

Tricyclo [5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one Tricarbonyliron (22). To a solution of 300 mg ( 0.91 mmol ) 21 in 50 mL of THF (freshly distilled over Na ) was added 14 mL of 1 N HCl under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with stirring and cooling $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, over a period of 30 min . The stirring was continued over 10 h at room temperature. After neutralization with 1 N sodium hydroxide solution, the solvent was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After removal of the solvent the residue was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ yielded 130 $\mathrm{mg}(50 \%)$ of 22: mp $72-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \tilde{\nu}_{\max } 2040(\mathrm{~s})$, 1940-1950 (br, s), 1755 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 210.6$ (s), 208.6 (s), 88.1 (d), 59.3 (d), 57.8 (d), 50.8 (d), 50.2 (d), 49.0 (d), 43.7 (t); MSHR, ( ${ }^{+}$) calcd 285.9928, obsd 285.9880. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}$ : C, 54.58; H, 3.52. Found: C, 54.22; H, 3.67.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ deca-3,5-dien-9-one ( $p$-Tolylsulfonyl)hydrazone Tricarbonyliron (23). The preparation of the ironcarbonyl derivate 23 was identical with that described for the tosylhydrazone 19 . Compound 22 ( $60 \mathrm{mg} ; 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to $86 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of tosylhydrazone 23: $\mathrm{mp} 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; IR (KBr) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3200(\mathrm{~m}), 2040(\mathrm{~s}), 1990(\mathrm{~s}), 1970(\mathrm{~s}), 1335(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.22-2.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.31-2.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-2.6(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.49(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 210.8$ (s), 164.1 (s), 144.0 (s), 135.6 (s), 129.7 (d), 127.8 (d), 88.0 (d), 66.8
(d), 58.1 (d), 51.4 (d), 50.7 (d), 34.5 (t), 21.7 (q); UV ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max }$ (є) 230 (28 100), 275 (4320) nm. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{SFe}$ : C, $52.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.17 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.06$. Found: C, $52.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.21 ; \mathrm{N}$, 5.95; S, 7.03 .

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-ol Tricarbonyliron (24). The ironcarbonyl derivate 24 was prepared by a procedure identical with that described for the preparation of the alcohol 36. Ketone $22(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ was converted to $50 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of the alcohol 24: IR (KBr) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3200$ (br), 2040 (s) 1960-1950 (br, s), $1350(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.23-1.33$ (br, 2 H ), 1.62 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.33(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(1$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 211.4$ (s), 87.9 (d), 87.6 (d), 70.4 (d), 64.7 (d), 60.8 (d), 60.5 (d), 56.0 (d), 48.1 (d), 44.4 (d), 38.7 (t). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 54.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.19$. Found: C, 54.48; H, 3.91.

9-[(p-Tolylsulfonyl)oxy]tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-diene Tricarbonyliron (25). To a solution of $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1.5 mL of dry pyridine was added 55 mg $(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 24 . After 48 h at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, pieces of ice were added until yellow crystals began to precipitate. The solid was filtered, washed with water, and dried to yield $55 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%)$ of yellow tosylate 25: $\operatorname{mp} 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR (KBr) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 2040(\mathrm{~s}), 1950$ (br, s), 1360 (s), 1340 (s), 1190 ( s ), $1180(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.63$ $(1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.98(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.45(4 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.21(2$ H), $4.47(1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.34(2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.76(2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.5$ (s), 137.5 (s), 129.8 (d), 127.7 (d), 88.1 (d), 87.7 (d), 80.1 (d), 62.1 (d), 59.2 (d), 58.9 (d), 54.7 (d), 47.3 (d), 44.9 (d), 36.1 (t), 21.6 (q); UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\epsilon) 230(28100), 275$ (3920) nm . Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SFe}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 54.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.10 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.52$. Found: C, 54.60; H, 4.11; S, 7.49.
Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]decan-9-one Ethylene Acetal (26). A solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ of diene 17 in 6 mL of ethanol was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure and room temperature over platinum oxide. After the theoretical amount of hydrogen had been absorbed ( 10 h ), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed. The remaining liquid was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with ether gave $92 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%)$ of a colorless liquid: IR (film) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 2920(\mathrm{~s}), 1300(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.56-1.58(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.84$ (br, 4 H$), 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.23$ (m, 4 H ), 3.88-4.0 (m, 4 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 116.4(\mathrm{~s}), 64.5$ ( t$), 52.8$ (d), 51.4 (d), 45.1 (t), 41.3 (d), 29.6 (t), 27.1 (t); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 194.1303, obsd 194.1305.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]decan-9-one (27). A solution of 26 ( 92 mg , 0.47 mmol ) in 20 mL of THF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with 7 mL of 1 N HCl . The reaction was warmed to room temperature, and stirring was continued for 18 h . The reaction mixture was neutralized with 1 N sodium hydroxide solution, and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated, leaving 70 mg of a colorless oil, which was purified on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave $67 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%)$ of pure liquid ketone 27: IR (film) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 2940(\mathrm{~s}), 1765(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.55-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.5-2.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 219.9(\mathrm{~s}), 61.8(\mathrm{~d}), 53.2(\mathrm{~d}), 47.6$ (t), 40.4 (d), $30.0(\mathrm{t})$, 26.8 (t); MSHR, ( ${ }^{+}$) calcd 150.1045 , obsd 150.1062 .

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]decan- 9 -one ( $p$-Tolylsulfonyl)hydrazone (28). A mixture of $67 \mathrm{mg}(0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 27 and $84 \mathrm{mg}(0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tosylhydrazine in 4 mL of dry ethanol was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . A white precipitate was formed, which was filtered and identified as pure $28(30 \mathrm{mg})$. The ethanol was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with methylene chloride/ethyl acetate ( $10: 1$ ) gave 60 mg of 28 . A total of $90 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$ of white, crystalline tosylhydrazone 28 was obtained: $\operatorname{mp} 217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR (KBr) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 3240$ (s), 2920 (s), 1329 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.5-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.87$ (br, m, 4 H ), 2.02-2.08 (m, 2 H), $2.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.42-2.45 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \mathrm{MSCI}, m / e$ $319(\mathrm{M}+1)$.

Tricyclo [5.3.0.0 $0^{2,8}$ ]dec-9-ene (29). To a stirred suspension of $90 \mathrm{mg}(0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 28 in 3 mL of dry ether (ice cooling) was added $0.63 \mathrm{~mL}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1.6 N methyllithium in dry ether during 10 min . Stirring was continued at room temperature for 16 h , during which time the reaction mixture developed a deep red-orange color. A small amount of water was added to destroy the excess $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Li}$, and then an additional 5 mL was added. The
layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with water and dried. The solvent was removed by alumina distillation. The residue was chromatographed on aluminia (elution with ether) to give 23 mg ( $62 \%$ ) of the tricyclic compound 29: IR (GC/IR) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3043(\mathrm{~m}), 1650(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.4$ (d), 80.7 (d), 48.9 (d), 31.3 (t), 27.2 (t); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) caled 134.0962, obsd 134.1114.

4-Phenyl-2,4,6-triazapentacyclo[6.5.2.0 $\left.0^{2,6} .0^{8,12} .0^{9,13}\right]$ penta-dec-14-ene-3,5,10-trione 10-Ethylene Acetal (30). To a solution of 100 mg ( 0.53 mmol ) of 17 in dry methylene chloride ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise phenyltriazolinedione ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The color of the red mixture disappeared quickly. After the mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel; elution with methylene chloride/ethyl acetate (1:1) gave $163 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of 30 as a mixture of two isomers: $\mathrm{mp} 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 1770(\mathrm{~s}), 1720(\mathrm{~s}), 1405(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.0-2.1(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.9-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.0-5.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.3-6.45(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 154.5$ (s), 131.8 (s), 129.7 (d), 128.1 (d), 125.5 (d), 114.3 (s), 114.0 (s), 64.8 (t), 60.9 (d), 54.5 (d), 53.3 (d), 53.1 (d), 51.1 (d), 50.8 (d), 49.8 (d), 43.5 (t), 43.1 (d), 41.1 (t); MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 365.1373 , obsd 365.1345 .

2-( $\boldsymbol{N}$-Phenylcarbamoyl)-2,3-diazatetracyclo[6.2.2.0 ${ }^{5,9} .0^{6,10}$ ]dodec-11-en-7-one Ethylene Acetal (31). To a mixture of $104 \mathrm{mg}(0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 30 and 180 mg of powdered KOH were added 10 mL of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ and 10 mL of water. The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 3 h , while being heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The colorless solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel by elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /ether (2:1) to yield 122 mg ( $80 \%$ ) of two isomers ( 31 ): $\mathrm{mp} 115-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 3340$ (m), $3220(\mathrm{~m}), 1660(\mathrm{~s}), 1510(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.85-2.08$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.50-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80-4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35-6.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.0(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ MSHR, (M $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$ calcd 339.1583 , obsd 339.1578 .

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2.10}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-one (33). 18 ( 40 mg ) was dissolved in methylene chloride and chromatographed on aluminia (neutral, act I). The resulting isomeric ketone 33 showed the following spectroscopic properties: IR (film) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \lambda_{\max }(\epsilon) 258(4200) \mathrm{nm}$; MS, $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 146.07, obsd 146.06. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.57\left(\mathrm{H}(1), J_{1,2}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{1,7}=6.4\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{1,10}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{H}(2), J_{2,3}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2,7}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2,10}\right.$ $=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.21\left(\mathrm{H}(3), J_{3,4}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.04\left(\mathrm{H}(4), J_{4,5}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $5.82\left(\mathrm{H}(5), J_{5,6}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.19\left(\mathrm{H}(6), J_{6,7}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.28(\mathrm{H}(7)$, $\left.J_{7,8 \mathrm{a}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{7,8 \mathrm{~b}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.48\left(\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{a}), J_{8 \mathrm{a}, 8 \mathrm{~b}}=-19.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{8 \mathrm{a}, 10}\right.$ $=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 219(\mathrm{H}(86)), 1.82(\mathrm{H}(10))$.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.04,8]deca-2,5,9-triene (35). To a stirred suspension of $350 \mathrm{mg}(1 \mathrm{mmol}) 19$ in 10 mL of dry ether (ice cooling) was added 3 mL ( 4.5 mmol ) of 1.5 N methyllithium in ether during 15 min . Stirring was continued at room temperature for 16 h , during which time the reaction mixture developed a deep redorange color. A small amount of water was added to destroy the excess methyllithium, and then an additional 25 mL was added. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was washed with water and dried. Most of the solvent was removed by careful distillation. The residue, which contained one main hydrocarbon ( $85 \mathrm{mg} ; 65 \%$ ), was subjected to preparative GC to give 26 mg ( $20 \%$ ) of $35:{ }^{25}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 145.5$ (d), 133.3 (d), 129.4 (d), 60.3 (d), 41.6 (d); MS, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) caled 130.08 , obsd 130.07 . When the reaction was carried out at 0 or $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the same result was obtained.

Tricyclo[5.3.0.0 ${ }^{2,8}$ ]deca-3,5-dien-9-ol (36). To a solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.68 \mathrm{mmol}) 18$ in 5 mL of dry ethanol was added 12 mg $(0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Water was added, and the solution was extracted three times with ether. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to give a colorless oil ( 100 mg ), which crystallized on standing in a refrigerator. When the reaction product was chromatographed on silica gel by elution with methylene chloride, it yielded 93 mg
( $92 \%$ ) of the alcohol $36: \operatorname{mp} 62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\mathcal{y}}_{\max } 3240$ (br), 1335 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52-1.55$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.86 (s, br, 1 H ), $2.23-2.29$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.37-2.42 (m, 1 H ), $2.92-2.97$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.59-4.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.95-6.2(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 133.2$ (d), 132.4 (d), 125.4 (d), 124.9 (d), 73.2 (d), 50.9 (d), 46.3 (d), 40.2 (t), 32.8 (d), 26.6 (d); UV $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\epsilon) 278$ (2750) nm; MSHR, ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 148.0904, obsd 148.0896. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 79.99 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.16. Found: C, 79.20; H, 8.34.

Tricyclo $\left[5.3 .0 .0^{28}\right.$ ] deca-3,5-dien-9-yl Methanesulfonate (37). To a solution of 93 mg ( 0.63 mmol ) of 36 in 3 mL of dry methylene chloride, containing 0.5 mL of triethylamine, was added 80 mg ( 0.7 mmol ) of methanesulfonyl chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring for 1 h completed the reaction. The mixture was first extracted with ice-water, followed by $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and brine. Drying of the organic solution $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ followed by solvent removal gave $124 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$ of 37 , which was pure enough for most uses: mp $48-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 1345(\mathrm{~s}), 1180(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.31-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.89-1.94(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.36-2.47$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.28-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.94-6.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 131.9$ (d), 131.1 (d), 126.1 (d), 125.6 (d), 82.9 (d), 50.0 (d), 46.9 (d), 38.6 (q), 38.2 (t), 32.6 (d), 26.3 (d); UV ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\lambda_{\max }(\epsilon) 275$ (3240) nm; MSHR, (M ${ }^{+}$) calcd 226.0657, obsd 226.0660.

Dihydroazulenes 38 and 39. To a solution of 37 ( 124 mg , $0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added potassium tert-butoxide ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h , then was poured into water ( 5 mL ), extracted with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried, and evaporated to leave $60 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of a mixture of four isomeric $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ hydrocarbons. Preparative GC isolation (Carbowax, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) afforded 38 and 39 as colorless liquids. 39: IR (GC/IR) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 3063$ (s), 3024 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.54-2.61$ (dd, $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~b}), J_{1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Ib}}=18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{1 \mathrm{~b}, 9}$ $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.70-2.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}(9), J_{9,1 \mathrm{a}}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.12-3.27$ (dd, $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{a})$, $\left.J_{1 \mathrm{a}, 9}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.36-5.39\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(8), J_{7,8}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{8,9}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $6.01-6.09$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}(4), \mathrm{H}(7)$ ), 6.19 (s, H(2), H(3)), 6.23-6.25 (dd, H(5), $\left.J_{5,6}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{5,4}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.43-6.48\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(6), J_{5,6}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $J_{4,6}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); UV (hexane) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 318,218 \mathrm{~nm}$; MSHR, $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 130.0783, obsd 130.0798. 38: IR (GC/IR) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 3066$ ( s ), 3028 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.06-3.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{H}(10), J_{4,10}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{a})), 5.00-5.04\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(4), J_{4,5}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{4,10}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 5.92-5.96 (m, H(2)), 6.00-6.06 (H(5), H(8), $J_{5.6}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{5,4}=$ $\left.9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{8,7}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.19-6.21(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 6.43-6.48$ (dd, $\mathrm{H}(6)$, $\left.J_{5,6}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{6,7}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.52-6.58\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(7), J_{6,7}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $J_{7,8}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); UV (hexane) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 276 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{MSHR}^{2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 130.0783 obsd 130.0775 .

Preparation of the Sodium Salt of 19. To a solution of 60 $\mathrm{mg}(0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 19 in 3 mL of dry methylene chloride was added $9 \mathrm{mg}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $80 \% \mathrm{NaH}$. After stirring for 2.5 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ the solution was diluted with 25 mL of $n$-hexane. A white precipitate formed and was filtered and washed with $n$-hexane ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$. Drying in vaccuum yielded $61 \mathrm{mg}(95 \%)$ of the sodium salt of 19 .

Thermolysis of the Sodium Salt of 19. The sodium salt of 19 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under reduced pressure ( $10^{-4}$ torr). The volatile products were collected in a cold trap and identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy as the compounds 41 and 42 (2:1). Preparative GC isolation yielded 41 and $43^{27}$ (Carbowax, $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). 41: IR (matrix, 10 K ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\max } 3329$ (s), 3028 (s), 2928 (w), 1254 (m), $741(\mathrm{~m}), 706(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}(7), J_{1,7}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{H}(10), J_{8,10}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.55-2.60$ (dd, H(8), $\left.J_{8,10}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{7.8}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.3$ (dd, H(1), H(6), $J_{1,2}$ $\left.=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{1,7}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.2-6.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(2), \mathrm{H}(5), J_{2,3}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.J_{2,1}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.6-6.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(3), \mathrm{H}(4), J_{3,4}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2,3}=3.1\right.$ Hz . 42: IR (matrix, 10 K ) $\tilde{\nu}_{\text {max }} 1969 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.2(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}(7)), 4.85-4.9\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{H}(10), J_{10.8}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{10.7}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 5.3 (dd, $\mathrm{H}(1), \mathrm{H}(6)), 5.48-5.5$ (dt, H(8), $J_{8,10}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{7,8}=6.5$ Hz ), 6.2-6.3 (dd, H(2), H(5)), 6.6-6.7 (dd, H(3), H(4)).

Photolysis of the Sodium Salt of 19. To a solution of 10 mg ( 0.03 mmol ) of 19 in 10 mL of freshly distilled THF was added $1 \mathrm{mg}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NaH}$. The mixture was stirred for several hours under argon. The resulting suspension was irradiated for $2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{Hg}$ lamp, WG 305), diluted with water, and extracted twice with ether
$(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ether extracts were washed with water and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed by careful distillation. The resulting products showed the same properties as those obtained by thermolysis.
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#### Abstract

Dibenzo-, dinaphtho-, and benzonaphthobicyclo[4.4.1]undecan-11-ones 3, 4, and 6 a were converted into [3.3]orthocyclophanes $8-10$ and 12 by the reaction of the carbonyl group at the bridge with ethylene and propylene glycol, ethane-1,2-dithiol, and Grignard reagents. Compared with those of the corresponding ketones, upfield shifts of aromatic protons of $8-10$ and 12 were observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. Their electronic spectra have an absorption tail that extends to about a 10 nm higher wavelength. X-ray crystallography of dibenzo derivatives 8a and 9a disclosed that the closest intramolecular distances of two benzene rings is $3.03-3.07 \AA$ and the dihedral angle between two benzenes is $25^{\circ}$.


Meta- and paracyclophanes have attracted much attention in the last two decades because of their layered structures with facing aromatic rings. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand, orthocyclophanes generally possess a rather flattened structure and have been less studied. Several compounds that contain [2.2]- and [3.3]orthocyclophane moieties are known; ${ }^{3}$ however, there are only a few examples of orthocyclophanes that exhibit a " $\pi-\pi$ " interaction of the two facing aromatic rings. Janusene (1) ${ }^{4}$ and the related com-

pound $2^{5}$ are [3.3]orthocyclophanes whose electronic spectra show a characteristic band that reflects a throughspace interaction of the two facing benzene rings.

[^10]We reported the preparation of dibenzo- (3) and dinaphtho[4.4.1] undecan-11-one (4), which are flexible and exist as two equivalent conformers with $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=10-15 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} .^{7}$ The introduction of bulky substituents onto the C 1 bridge of 3 resulted in increased repulsion between the substituents and the two benzene rings, forcing the rings to take a facing conformation (eq 1). We describe the preparation and characterization of [3.3]orthocyclophanes that have facing benzene and naphthalene rings.


## Results and Discussion

Preparation of [3.3]Orthocyclophanes. Dibenzo- and dinaphthobicyclo[4.4.1]undecan-11-one (3 and 4) were prepared as reported. ${ }^{6}$ Benzocycloheptenonedicarboxylate $5 a$ and its naphtho analogue $5 b$ were needed to prepare benzo-naphtho derivative 6a. Although some preparative methods have been reported, ${ }^{7}$ we synthesized $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $5 \mathbf{b}$ by the reaction of the bis(bromomethyl)arenes 7 a and $\mathbf{7 b}$
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